\square (2)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

United States of America)
V.)
DANIEL W. SAVAGE,) Case No. 4:11CR3082
Defendant)
DETENTION ORI	DER PENDING TRIAL
DETENTION ORI	DERTENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the Barequire that the defendant be detained pending trial.	til Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	indings of Fact
\square (1) The defendant is charged with an offense describe	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or	local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. for which the prison term is 10 years or mo	§ 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ore.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison ten	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in
	.*
□ a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C)	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses, or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence b	ut involves:
□ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or do	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was comm federal, state release or local offense.	itted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
\square (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	ce the date of conviction the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding	(1).
	table presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternativ	re Findings (A)
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten ye	ears or more is prescribed in

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure

the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

Alternative Findings (B)

- (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence \square a preponderance of the evidence that Defendant poses a risk of re-offending. The current indictment arose while defendant was on supervised release. Detention hearing waived.

Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: September 8, 2011 s/Cheryl R. Zwart

United States Magistrate Judge